## BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF	)
NEW MEXICO'S APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION	)
TO IMPLEMENT GRID MODERNIZATION	)
COMPONENTS THAT INCLUDE ADVANCED METERING	)
INFRASTRUCTURE AND APPLICATION TO RECOVER	) Case No. 22-00058-UT
THE ASSOCIATED COSTS THROUGH A RIDER,	)
ISSUANCE OF RELATED ACCOUNTING ORDERS, AND	)
OTHER ASSOCIATED RELIEF	)
	)

## PROCUEDURAL SCHEDULE SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEDINGS

THIS MATTER comes before Christopher P. Ryan, Hearing Examiner for the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, following the prehearing conference that occurred on January 16, 2024, where a procedural schedule for these supplemental proceedings was discussed. The Hearing Examiner FINDS AND CONCLUDES as follows:

- 1. Certain intervenors indicated at the prehearing conference that they do intend to file supplemental testimony.
- 2. The New Mexico Attorney General's office already issued discovery requests and anticipates those inquiries will be answered in the very near future.
  - 3. Other intervenors indicated they intend to file supplemental discovery responses.
- 4. The hearing examiner has questions about the supplemental testimony PNM filed that he will issue in a bench request.
- 5. Several of the Commissioner's policy advisors appeared at the Zoom prehearing. The Commissioners may have supplemental questioning for PNM's and intervenors' witnesses.
  - 6. Intervenors may elect to file supplemental testimony.
- 7. One of the pro-se intervenors inquired during the prehearing whether the public will be given an opportunity to make public comment about PNM's cost-benefit analysis and

PNM's supplemental testimony. The public remains free to submit comments by e-mail at any time and will be given the opportunity at the inception of the hearing in these supplemental proceedings to offer comment on PNM's cost benefit analysis.

- 8. These supplemental proceedings will be focused on the cost-benefit analyses the Commission directed PNM to produce and which PNM did produce. These supplemental proceedings are not an opportunity for the utility applicant or intervenors to relitigate matters already taken into evidence or to have the proverbial "second bite at the apple." Allowing the applicant or intervenors to relitigate matters would not inefficient and counterproductive.
- 9. PNM suggested certain procedural dates to achieve what is set out above. The proposals were helpful and they are adopted.

For these reasons, the Hearing Examiner CONCLUDES:

- A. Intervenors may and Commission Staff will file **supplemental testimony** by the close of business on **March 1, 2024**.
- B. Commission Staff will address how the cost benefit analysis and supplemental testimony PNM supplied bears on Staff's recommendation to the Commission as contained in prior testimony and will provide a recommendation on how the Commission should rule on PNM's grid-modernization proposals considering PNM's cost benefit analysis and supplemental evidence.
  - C. PNM may file **rebuttal testimony** by the close of business on **March 22, 2024**.
- D. A hearing for cross-examination on all supplemental testimony will occur on April 23 and 24, 2024, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Unless otherwise ordered, that hearing will occur via Zoom. An invitation to the Zoom hearing will be provided by separate e-mail. The same protocols and policies that applied to the initial hearing will apply in the supplemental hearing. PNM will later identify the order of presentation of witnesses. Intervenors will present evidence

**Before the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission** 

**Procedural Schedule Supplemental Proceedings** 

Case No. 22-00058-UT

and cross examine PNM's witnesses in the same order as at the initial hearing. Again, this is to

ensure these supplemental proceedings are conducted in a maximally efficient manner.

E. The focus of the April hearing will be the supplemental evidence and the benefit

cost analyses PNM provided. That hearing will not be a forum to address matters that have already

been addressed at the prior hearing. There must necessarily be some flexibility in applying this

principle to balance efficiency with thoroughness of the testing of PNM's evidence by the

intervenors and Commission.

F. Any evidentiary motions that may have impact on the supplemental evidence or

relevance to the supplemental hearing will be filed by April 9, 2024. Responses will be due five

days later.

G. Additional orders may issue as necessary.

ISSUED under the Seal of the Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico this 16th day of

January 2024.

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

Christopher P. Ryan

**Hearing Examiner** 

Christopher.ryan@prc.nm.gov

## BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN T	HE MAT'	TER OF	<b>PUBI</b>	IC SERVICE CO	MPANY )	
OF	<b>NEW</b>	MEXIC	CO'S	APPLICATION	FOR )	
AUT	HORIZA'	TION	TO	<b>IMPLEMENT</b>	GRID )	
MOI	ERNIZA	TION C	OMPO	DNENTS THAT IN	(CLUDE )	
ADV	ANCED	METER	ING I	NFRASTRUCTUI	RE AND )	Case No. 22-00058-UT
APPl	LICATIO	N TO I	RECO	VER THE ASSO	CIATED )	
COS	TS THR	OUGH	A I	RIDER, ISSUAN	CE OF )	
REL	ATED A	CCOUN	TING	ORDERS, AND	OTHER )	
<b>ASS</b> (	CIATED	RELIE	F		)	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the date indicated below I sent a true and correct copy of the order above to the parties listed below.

PNM Stacey Goodwin	Stacey.goodwin@pnmresources.com;
Debrea M. Terwilliger	dterwilliger@wbklaw.com;
John Verheul	john.verheul@pnmresources.com;
Mark Fenton	Mark.Fenton@pnm.com;
Carey Salaz	Carey.Salaz@pnm.com;
Brian Buffington	Brian.buffington@pnm.com;
Richard Alvidrez	ralvidrez@mstlaw.com;
Raymond L. Gifford	rgifford@wbklaw.com;
ATTORNEY GENERAL	
Gideon Eliot	gelliot@nmag.gov;
Maria Oropeza	Moropeza@nmag.gov;
Joshua LaFayette	JLafayette@nmag.gov;
Andrea Crane	Ctcolumbia@aol.com;
Courtney Lane	clane@synapse-energy.com;
Jack Smith	JSmith@synapse-energy.com;
ABCWUA	
Nann M. Winter	nwinter@stelznerlaw.com;
Keith Herrmann	kherrmann@stelznerlaw.com;
Christopher P. Melendrez	cmelendrez@abcwua.org;
Dahl Harris	Dahlharris@hotmail.com;
L. Erica Flores	eflores@stelznerlaw.com;
	,

[	T
WALMART, INC. Randy S. Bartell	rbartell@montand.com;
Sharon T. Shaheen	sshaheen@montand.com;
Steve W. Chriss	Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com;
Andrew D. Teague	Andrew.Teague@walmart.com;
	,
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE	
Julie Park	jpark@cabq.gov;
Jennifer Lucero	jenniferlucero@cabq.gov;
Larry Blank	lb@tahoeconomics.com;
NM AREA	
Peter Gould	peter@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Kelly Gould	kelly@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Katrina Reid	office@thegouldlawfirm.com;
James Dauphinais	jdauphinais@consultbai.com;
Brian Andrews	Bandrews@consultbai.com;
	-
CCAE	
Cara Lynch	Lynch.cara.nm@gmail.com;
Charles de Saillan	Desaillan.ccae@gmail.com;
BERNCO	
Jeffrey H. Albright	JA@jalblaw.com;
Emma Douglas	Emma@Jalblaw.com;
Natalia Sanchez Downey	ndowney@bernco.gov;
Marah deMeule	mdemeule@bernco.gov;
BAKER PRO SE	
Daniel T. Baker	dtbaker61@gmail.com;
BRUNO PRO SE	
William Bruno	wbruno@gmail.com;
NMUS	
Arthur Firstenberg	bearstar@fastmail.fm;
Kathleen Burke	Kathleenmariaburke@yahoo.com;
VECINOS UNITED	
Andres Valdez	vecinosunited2@gmail.com;
WRA	
Cydney Beadles	Cydney.beadles@westernresources.org;
Caitlin Evans	Caitlin.evans@westernresources.org;
Clare Valentine	Clare.valentine@westernresources.org;
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

<i>IBEW</i>	
Justin Lesky	jlesky@leskylawoffice.com;
UTILITY STAFF	
Bradford Borman	Bradford.borman@prc.nm.gov;
Jack Sidler	Jack.Sidler@prc.nm.gov;
Marc Tupler	Marc.tupler@prc.nm.gov;
Ed Rilkoff	Ed.Rilkoff@prc.nm.gov;
Elisha Leyba-Tercero	Elisha.leyba-Tercero@prc.nm.gov;
Jonah Mauldin	Jonah.Mauldin@prc.nm.gov;
NMPRC GENERAL COUNSEL	
Robert Lundin	Robert.Lundin@prc.nm.gov;
Alejandro Rettig y Martinez	alejandro.martinez@prc.nm.gov;
Arthur O'Donnell	Arthur.O'Donnell@prc.nm.gov;
HEARING EXAMINER	
Christopher Ryan, Hearing Examiner	Christopher.Ryan@prc.nm.gov;
Ana Kippenbrock, Law Clerk	Ana.Kippenbrock@prc.nm.gov;
,	

**DATED: January 16, 2023** 

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

**Christopher P. Ryan** 

Hearing Examiner
Christopher.ryan@prc.nm.gov